

7/22/02

Next examiner,

Restriction made

Claims 1-19 + 34
elected.

Paul

Art Unit 1714

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

I. Claims 1-19 and 34, drawn to composition, classified in Class 524, subclass 270.

II. Claims 20-30, drawn to an assembly, classified in Class 362, subclass 267.

III. Claims 31-33, drawn to a method, classified in Class 156, subclass 60.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Restriction is proper because the composition of claims 1-19 and 34 may be useful in an assembly and in a method other than those of claims 20-33.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Goozner on July 12, 2002 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-19 and 34. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 20-33 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Serial No. 09/715,138

-3-

Art Unit 1714

The Examiner's Supervisor is Vasu Jagannathan, phone number
(703) 306-2777.

PRMichl:cdc

July 22, 2002


PAUL R. MICHL
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 156

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

I. Claims 1-19 and 34, drawn to composition, classified in Class 524, subclass 270.

II. Claims 20-30, drawn to an assembly, classified in Class 362, subclass 267.

III. Claims 31-33, drawn to a method, classified in Class 156, subclass 60.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Restriction is proper because the composition of claims 1-19 and 34 may be useful in an assembly and in a method other than those of claims 20-33.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classifications, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Goozner on July 12, 2002 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-19 and 34. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 20-33 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Serial No. 09/715,138

-3-

Art Unit 1714

The Examiner's Supervisor is Vasu Jagannathan, phone number
(703) 306-2777.

PRMichl:cdc

July 22, 2002


PAUL R. MICHL
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 156